X-ray-diffraction study of size-dependent strain in quantum-wire structures
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We report a synchrotron x-ray-diffraction study of the strain field in embedded In$_{0.2}$Ga$_{0.8}$As/GaAs (001) quantum wires of widths 50–250 nm. Our results show a size-dependent orthorhombic lattice deformation in the wires and a linearly strained interfacial region near the wire sidewalls. The measured strain is responsible for an unusual band-gap energy increase that is several times larger than the quantum confinement effect, indicating that strain effects contribute significantly to band-edge energies in this and other quantum structures.

Quantum confinement in low-dimensional semiconductor materials has attracted much interest in recent years because of its fundamental connection to quantum and solid-state physics and its potential impact on semiconductor electronic and optoelectronic devices. These materials are categorized into three types: quantum wells, quantum wires (QWR’s), and quantum dots (QD’s), in which the electron motions are restricted to two, one, and zero dimensions, respectively. For a strong confinement effect to occur, the dimension of the crystal needs to approach its exciton radius which is on the order of 10 nm. This typical dimension of the quantum confinement materials, which may contain only a few dozens atoms in each direction, represents an intermediate (mesoscopic) length scale that is different from dimensions studied in conventional macroscopic and microscopic physics. Some physical properties, such as electron transport and electronic band structures, may be significantly modified compared to the corresponding bulk materials, leading to different mesoscopic effects.

One of the main effects of quantum confinement is the widening of the forbidden band gap in direct-gap semiconductors such as III-V compounds. Optical spectroscopic techniques such as photoluminescence (PL) are often used to measure the increase in the band gap as a function of decreasing crystal sizes. For lateral QWR and QD structures, quantitative observations of the band-gap change versus confinement size have become available recently, owing to the improvement in the control of small lateral dimensions in lithographic processes. These quantitative analyses, however, often exhibit significant discrepancies between the theory and the experiment. For example, blueshifts in PL peak energy several times greater than the quantum confinement predictions have been observed in embedded QWR’s of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs (Refs. 3, 4) and of In$_x$As$_{1-x}$P/InP. The discrepancies remain unresolved even if improved lateral confinement theories are used in which such effects as the band anisotropy, nonparabolicity, and subband mixing are included.

It has become clear that one important factor that can affect the laterally confined electronic properties is the state of crystalline strain in the QWR and QD structures, as suggested by the observations that the large blueshift discrepancies seem to exist only for QWR’s and QD’s with a lattice-mismatched cap layer. Several studies based on the continuum elasticity theory have also suggested the importance of strain in QWR’s and QD’s and their influence on the band-gap energy. Experimentally, however, there have been very few studies that provide direct measurements of strain-tensor components in lateral quantum structures as a function of quantum-wire width, $w$, primarily because of the difficulties in measuring the small amount of strain in small crystals with sufficient accuracy.

In this paper, we report a direct measurement by synchrotron x-ray diffraction of the elastic strain tensor and strain gradient in embedded periodic In$_{0.2}$Ga$_{0.8}$As/GaAs QWR structures of several lateral sizes. In our experiment, we make use of the constructive interference among the QWR’s within the coherence width of the synchrotron x-ray beam. This phenomenon of coherent grating x-ray diffraction enhances the scattering signal from individual wires, and thus significantly improves the strain detectibility by x-ray diffraction. Our measured strain components in the QWR’s show a size-dependent orthorhombic deviation from the tetragonal pseudomorphic deformation usually associated with quantum wells. The orthorhombic lattice strain does not seem to be a simple result of the elastic Poisson effect previously discussed for uncapped and much thicker QWR’s. Finally, we use the standard deformation-potential theory to show that the confinement potential in the QWR’s are modified substantially by the measured strain field, causing the extra blueshifts observed in the PL measurement.

X-ray diffraction from a periodic QWR array, which acts like a grating with a submicrometer period, $L$, consists of superlattice peaks around each Bragg reflection, $G$, of the internal QWR crystal lattice. The diffracted x-ray intensities of the superlattice peaks are given by

$$I(q) = |f_p(q)|^2 \frac{\sin(NqL/2)^2}{\sin(qL/2)} ,$$

where $f_p(q)$ is the scattering amplitude from a single period, $N\lambda L$ the coherence length of the x-ray beam, and $q$ is the...
momentum transfer measured from \( \mathbf{G} \). The grating superlattice diffraction peaks occur at intervals \( \Delta q_x = 2 \pi / L \) and their intensities are determined by the geometric profile and the internal crystalline strain within a single period.

The QWR samples of 10-nm-thick In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As, embedded in a GaAs (001) substrate, were fabricated on a single GaAs wafer by a combination of molecular-beam epitaxy, electron-beam lithography, and epitaxial regrowth techniques.\(^3\) The QWR’s are along the [110] direction (inset in Fig. 1) with wire widths ranging from \( w = 50 \) to 900 nm, as measured by scanning electron microscopy. Each patterned QWR area was 0.7×0.7 mm\(^2\). The PL measurements were carried out at room temperature using an Ar\(^+\) laser of a 514.5-nm wavelength. Blueshifts in the PL peak energy versus QWR widths were observed on this and other similarly made samples. It was found consistently that the energy shifts were several times greater than the expected values due solely to the quantum confinement effect.\(^3,4\)

The x-ray-diffraction experiment was performed at the A2 station of CHESS, using 8.33-keV x rays provided by horizontally focused Si (111) monochromators. The QWR sample was mounted at the center of a standard four-circle diffractometer equipped with a post-sample Si (111) analyzer. The incident beam, about 0.5 mm in size, covers a sample surface area about twice as large as each patterned QWR region at typical diffraction geometries. Bragg-reflection topographs were taken to ensure that only one patterned area with a given QWR width was illuminated by the x-ray beam for each measurement.

The strain measurements on five QWR regions of wire widths 50, 70, 90, 130, and 250 nm were performed by mesh scans around the symmetric (004) and the asymmetric (115) Bragg reflections of In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As. An example of the diffraction patterns is shown in Fig. 1, where sharp superlattice peaks and clear extinctions of certain orders indicate an almost perfect QWR array with very little size variation. The (115) reflection was useful for measuring the average strain tensor in a QWR, while the diffraction pattern around the (004) proved to be extremely sensitive to the spatial distribution of the strain field near the QWR sidewalls.

Two groups of QWR superlattice peaks are visible in the (115) diffraction pattern in Fig. 1, one around the (115) reflection of GaAs and the other around the (115) of In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As. The strong (115) peak of the In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As, positioned directly below the GaAs (115), comes mainly from the unpatterned region of the sample. It indicates a pseudomorphic, thin layer of In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As, with a thickness of 100 Å, whose lateral lattice is conformed to the surrounding GaAs and the other around the QWR sidewalls.

The separation in the peak positions are then converted to real-space strain components, \( \epsilon_{xx} \) and \( \epsilon_{zz} \), which are plotted in Figs. 2(a), 2(b). The strain \( \epsilon_{yy} \) along the QWR direction (y) was measured at (115) and was found to be pseudomorphic, \( \epsilon_{yy} = 0 \), as one may expect.

Several observations can be made on the results shown in Figs. 2(a), 2(b). First, the crystal lattice in the QWR’s becomes orthorhombic rather than tetragonal, since \( \epsilon_{xz} \neq \epsilon_{yz} \). This result is consistent with the overall symmetry of the QWR crystals if their boundaries are taken into account. Second, the orthorhombic strain increases significantly with decreasing QWR widths. This dependence, especially that of \( \epsilon_{zz} \), is somewhat surprising. Since the total strain energy per unit length of QWR decreases with width, we may expect that a wire with a smaller cross-section area would be easier to conform to the surrounding substrate lattice, and thus only \( \epsilon_{zz} \) would decrease with width while \( \epsilon_{xx} \) would remain locked to the substrate. Our measurements clearly show that it is not the case. Third, the relationship between \( \epsilon_{xx} \) and \( \epsilon_{zz} \) does not seem to follow the usual elastic Poisson’s behavior.

![FIG. 1. Intensity surface plots, in logarithmic scale, of the x-ray-diffraction patterns around the (115) and the (004) reflections of the 50-nm-wide QWR sample of In\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As/GaAs. The ‘‘\( x \)’’ marks in the (115) plot indicate the centers of the diffraction patterns from the QWR and from the unpatterned flat region on the sample, respectively. The tilted arrows in the (004) plot indicate the directions of the strain-gradient-induced diffraction streaks, as explained in the text.](image-url)
regions. The wire width appears to be larger with the strained region than without. With the help of a computer simulation of the whole diffraction pattern in Fig. 1, we come to the conclusion that at each sidewall there exists a region of \( \sim 240 \) Å in lateral width where the vertical lattice parameter varies linearly with a gradient of \( \partial a_z/\partial x = (6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4} \). The width of this interfacial region does not change significantly with the QWR’s lateral dimensions.

In order to see whether our measured average strain components are consistent with the observed PL blueshift, we use the deformation-potential theory developed by Pikus and Bir\(^{13}\) to calculate the band-gap change due to the strain: \( \Delta E_g = a(e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33}) - \frac{E_g}{\hbar^2} \) with \( E_g = b^2((e_{11} - e_{22})^2 + (e_{22} - e_{33})^2 + (e_{33} - e_{11})^2)/2 + d^2(e_{12}^2 + e_{23}^2 + e_{31}^2) \), where \( a \) is the hydrostatic and \( b \) and \( d \) are the shear interband deformation potentials, based on crystallographic axes \( \mathbf{1} = [100], \mathbf{2} = [010], \) and \( \mathbf{3} = [001] \). The measured strain components, \((e_{xx}, e_{yy}, e_{zz})\), based on \( \mathbf{x} \) along \([110], \mathbf{y} \) along \([110], \) and \( \mathbf{z} \) along \([001] \), are transformed by a 45° rotation around \( \mathbf{z} \) to yield that \( e_{x1} = e_{y2} = e_{z}/2, e_{x2} = e_{z1} = e_{y}/2, \) and \( e_{z3} = e_{z2}. \) The total strain components with respect to unstrained bulk crystals are therefore \( e_{x1} = e_{x2} = e_{y}/2, e_{x2} = e_{z1} = e_{y}/2, \) and \( e_{z3} = (2C_{12}/C_{11})e_{x} + e_{z}, \) where \( e_{0} = 0.014 \) is the lattice mismatch between \( \text{In}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As} \) and GaAs. Substituting these strain components into the equation for \( \Delta E_g \), we obtain the band-gap change in additional to that of the tetragonally strained quantum film (QWR with \( w = \infty \)):

\[
\Delta E = a(e_{xx} + e_{zz}) + b(e_{zz} - e_{xx}/2),
\]

where the terms containing second orders of \( e_{xx} \) and \( e_{zz} \) have been omitted since \( e_{xx} \) and \( e_{zz} \) are much smaller than \( e_{0}. \)

We use the values \( a = -9.016 \) eV and \( b = -1.96 \) eV for the \( \text{In}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As} \) which are interpolated between the values for GaAs and InAs\(^{15}\). For \( e_{xx} \) and \( e_{zz} \), we first fit the measured values with two smooth curves: \( e_{xx} = 0.0138w^{-0.64} \) and \( e_{zz} = -0.0738w^{-0.87}. \) Then we use these smooth curves for computation of \( \Delta E \) using Eq. (2). The total band-gap change is the sum of the strain-induced effect, Eq. (2), and the quantum confinement effect, which can be estimated by using the effective-mass approximation.\(^{15}\) The result is shown in Fig. 2(c) as a solid curve, along with the measured PL data (full circles) and the pure confinement effect (dashed-dotted curve). The calculated \( \Delta E \) is in excellent agreement with the measured blueshifts, indicating that the strain is the predominant cause of the band-structure changes in these embedded QWR materials.

Also shown in Fig. 2(c) are the separate contributions to \( \Delta E \) from the hydrostatic strain, \( a(e_{xx} + e_{zz}), \) and from the shear strain, \( b(e_{zz} - e_{xx}/2). \) It shows that the isotropic hydrostatic compression of the embedded QWR’s by the surrounding substrate crystal contributes most to the band gap increase in small-width QWR’s. Although the pressure dependence of electronic band structures has been known for bulk semiconductors, our result indicates that the hydrostatic and shear stress from the lattice mismatch in nanocrystalline materials is extremely important in determining their quantum confinement potentials and their optoelectronic properties. This effect may become even more essential for smaller quantum structures, and may be useful for strain engineering of new electronic nanostructures. We should note that for free-standing quantum structures, the natural surface relax-
ation may also be important in determining the electronic properties, especially for small scale structures.

In summary, we have used the coherent grating-enhanced x-ray diffraction to study the strain field in embedded QWR structures of In$_{0.2}$Ga$_{0.8}$As/GaAs. We have observed an orthorhombic distortion in the QWR's that is lateral-size dependent. This size-dependent strain is the predominant cause for the unusually large blueshift observed in the PL measurement. Our result demonstrates that the elastic strains in lattice-mismatched QWR structures not only affect the materials growth and fabrication but also strongly influence the electronic band edges, confinement potentials, and thus optical properties of the low-dimensional quantum structures. An accurate determination of the strain fields in these ultrasmall structures can provide the crucial information needed for better understanding of their unusual physical properties and better engineering of new mesoscopic materials. Furthermore, in conjunction with other experimental techniques, results from coherent grating x-ray diffraction can be used to assess the applicability of many existing solid-state theories, such as that of elastic deformation potentials, to the regime of mesoscopic low-dimensional structures. These studies in turn may stimulate further theoretical and experimental research in the area of mesoscopic physics.
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